# Symbolic-Numeric Computing for Bohemian Matrices

Robert M. Corless Joint work with many others December 9, 2021

Western University, Canada

#### Announcing Maple Transactions

a new open access journal with no page charges mapletransactions.org

For example, see

Peter J. Baddoo and Lloyd N. Trefethen. *Log-lightning computation of capacity and Green's function*. **Maple Transactions** Volume 1, Issue 1, Article 14124 (July 2021). https://doi.org/10.5206/mt.v1i1.14124

Richard P. Brent. *Some Instructive Mathematical Errors*. **Maple Transactions** Volume 1, Issue 1, Article 14069 (July 2021). https://doi.org/10.5206/mt.v1i1.14069

There is also a transcript of an interview with these authors, conducted by Annie Cuyt.

You can find an earlier (longer) version at

a video on my YouTube channel entitled "Skew Symmetric Tridiagonal Bohemians"

The papers the talk today is based on are

What can we learn from Bohemian Matrices? https://doi.org/10.5206/mt.v1i1.14039

and

Skew-symmetric tridiagonal Bohemian matrices https://doi.org/10.5206/mt.v1i2.14360

**Hybrid Symbolic-Numeric Computation**: A computation that uses *structure* and either *continuity* or *measure*.

That is, it uses notions both from algebra (or geometry) and from analysis.

I prefer this definition over others. Excellent examples of its use in general scientific computing include modern research in Differential-Algebraic Equations, Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems, and spectral methods. A family of matrices is called "Bohemian" if all entries are all from a single finite population *P*. The name comes from BOunded HEight Matrix of Integers. See bohemianmatrices.com for instances.

See also London Mathematical Society Newsletter, November 2020, page 16.

Such matrices have been studied for quite a long time (e.g. by Olga Taussky–Todd), though the name "Bohemian" only dates to 2016.

## Rhapsodizing about Bohemian Matrices



**Figure 1:** A cartoon by mathematician John de Pillis (UC Riverside), which appeared in Nick Higham's column in SIAM News

Framing the problem this way allows one to study common properties of discrete random matrices, to investigate extreme possibilities by exhaustive computation, and to analyze several interesting combinatorial problems.

Our original motivation was simply the construction of test problems for eigenvalue solvers; Steven Thornton has solved several *trillion* eigenvalue problems, and uncovered small instances (10 by 10 matrices with complex entries, 20 by 20 matrices with real entries) for which Matlab's *eig* routine failed to converge. [Reported to the Mathworks.] Nick Higham has used Bohemian matrices as a class to optimize over to look for improved lower bounds on such things as the growth factor in matrix factoring; Laureano Gonzalez-Vega has looked at *correlation matrices*.

We have used this idea to understand some things about simple matrix structures, such as upper Hessenberg and upper Hessenberg Toeplitz matrices. Here is a seven-by-seven example of SSTB[*P*]. If *P* has #*P* elements, then the number of such matrices is #*P*<sup>6</sup>.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & u_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -u_1 & 0 & u_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -u_2 & 0 & u_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -u_3 & 0 & u_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_4 & 0 & u_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_5 & 0 & u_6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## A picture



**Figure 2:** Density of eigenvalues of all  $4^{14} = 268,435,456$  fifteen by fifteen skew-symmetric tridiagonal matrices with population  $P = [\pm 1, \pm i]$ . Note the "rose" in the middle and its symmetries. Computed in Maple (15 seconds).

The computation of eigenvalues is well-known to be *numerically stable* and is efficiently carried out by QR iteration (Francis, Kublanovskaya independently early 60s). It would be hard to think of a more important algorithm; certainly it's one of the top 50 of the previous century.

But sometimes, even so, there can be difficulty.

Remarkably (to a numerical analyst) sometimes<sup>1</sup> computation of the roots of the characteristic polynomial can be better.

For *complex skew-symmetric tridiagonal* matrices there ought to be specialized algorithms that are faster by a factor of about 15 here, but they were not necessary (even if they exist).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Admittedly, hardly ever

Figure 2 was computed using eigenvalues of only  $2^{14} = 16,384$  matrices (thus explaining the 15 seconds taken), with P = [1,i] not the  $4^{14} > 2.68 \times 10^8$  matrices with  $P = [\pm 1, \pm i]$ . I could have done even better by using just the 8146 unique characteristic polynomials of this family. The characteristic polynomials satisfy the recurrence relation

$$p_{n+1} = \lambda p_n + u_n^2 p_{n-1} \tag{2}$$

and  $p_0 = 1$ ,  $p_1 = \lambda$ . So there is no need for -1 or -i.

Even so, I used *Eigenvalues*, because degree fifteen polynomials can still be ill-conditioned. [I could have used MPSolve by Bini and Robol, though.]

**Theorem** The **maximum characteristic height** H<sub>m</sub> of these dimension m matrices is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{m+1}F_{m+1} < H_m < F_{m+1} \tag{3}$$

where  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ , and  $F_{k+1} = F_k + F_{k-1}$  are the Fibonacci numbers. More, we have

$$H_m \sim A_m := \frac{5^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2\pi(m+1)}} \phi^{m+1}$$
(4)

where  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is the golden ratio. This means that the condition number for the worst of these polynomials grows exponentially with the dimension.

## A Thousand Points of Light



(a) Relative Error in Asymptotic (b) Sampling sine at discrete points Formula

**Figure 3:** See also Doug Hardin and Gil Strang's lovely paper "A Thousand Points of Light".

The real issue is *multiple eigenvalues*. It turns out that at dimensions  $m = 2^k - 1$  for some k, there are  $2^{k-1}$  nilpotent matrices in this family.

т	#matrices 4 <sup>m-1</sup>	#matrices 2 <sup>m-1</sup>	#nilpotents
1	1	1	1
3	16	4	2
7	4096	64	4
15	2.6844 · 10 <sup>8</sup>	16384	8
31	1.1529 · 10 <sup>18</sup>	1073741824	16

**Table 1:** Number of nilpotents (by exhaustive computation, the last one inJulia on a 4-core 1.3GHz machine which took just over 2 minutes).

#### Theorem

For this Bohemian family, if a matrix is nilpotent then its dimension is  $m = 2^k - 1$  for some k.

#### Theorem

For k = 1 (m = 1) there is one nilpotent matrix, [0]. For k = 2 (m = 3) there are two nilpotent matrices, with superdiagonals [1,i] and [i,1]. Recursively, if a superdiagonal s at dimension  $m = 2^k - 1$  gives a nilpotent matrix, then the superdiagonals [s, 1, i, rev(s)] and [s, i, 1, rev(s)] give nilpotent matrices at dimension  $m = 2^{k+1} - 1$ 

I thought I had a proof that this construction gave *all* the nilpotents, but I now think I don't.

## Thank you



**Figure 4:** Eigenvalues of all 2<sup>30</sup> (over a billion) 31 by 31 SSTB[1,*i*] matrices. Image by Aaron Asner, using C on a 32 core machine (5 hours).